Search Notes

| Application/Contro | | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | |
|--------------------|---|---|----|
| 10/038,004 | 1 | BAUM, DANIEL | R. |
| Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| Yogesh C. Garg | : | 3625 | |

| | SEARCHED | | |
|-------|----------|------|----------|
| Class | Subclass | Date | Examiner |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | _ |
| | - | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | - | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| INT | ERFERENC | E SEARCH | ED |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|
| Class | Subclass | Date | Examiner |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| SEARCH NOT (INCLUDING SEARCH) | _ |) |
|--|-----------|------|
| | DATE | EXMR |
| An Appeal Conference was held on 5/10/2006 with John Weiss (SPE AU3629 and Acting SPE Jeff Smith AU-3625) | 5/10/2006 | YG |
| The Appeal Brief was found defective as it did not contain " Summary of claimed Subject matter" as per 37CFR 41.37(c)(1) (v) | 5/10/2006 | YG |
| It was further decided to write an Examiner's answer on receipt of the corrected Appeal Brief. | 5/10/2006 | YG |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |